

Additions to Part I: Numerical List of Forms

[43 AI] Every countably well-founded relation is well-founded. (A relation R is (*countably*) *well-founded* if every (countable) set in the domain of R has an R -minimal element.) Diener [1994].

Add a reference to Keremedis in form [1 DG].

[1 DG] Vector Space Kinna-Wagner Principle: For every family $V = \{V_i : i \in K\}$ of non-trivial vector spaces there is a family $F = \{F_i : i \in K\}$ such that for each $i \in K$, F_i is a non-empty, independent subset of V_i . Keremedis [1999e] and note 127.

[14 DA] Keimel's Representation Theorem. Any hyperarchimedean l -group can be imbedded into a Boolean product of a family of simple, abelian l -groups. Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DB] If G is an achimedean l -group with a weak unit, then G can be imbedded into $D(X)$ where X is a locally compact topological space and $D(X)$ is the set of continuous maps $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$ such that the inverse image of \mathbb{R} is dense in X . Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DC] Clifford's Theorem. An abelian l -group is isomorphic to a subdirect product of totally ordered abelian groups. Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DD] Lorenzen's Theorem. A representable l -group is isomorphic to a subdirect product of totally ordered groups. Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DE] Holland's Theorem. Any l -group is isomorphic to a subdirect product of transitive l -groups. Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DF] Any l -group can be imbedded into the l -preserving permutations of a totally ordered set. Gluschankof [1995] and note 151.

[14 DG] In any hyperarchimedean l -group any proper l -ideal can be extended to a prime one. Gluschankof [1989] and note 151.

FORM 408. If $\{f_i : i \in I\}$ is a family of functions such that for each $i \in I$, $f_i \subseteq E \times W$, where E and W are non-empty sets, and \mathcal{B} is a filter base on I such that

1. For all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and all finite $F \subseteq E$ there is an $i \in I$ such that f_i is defined on F , and
2. For all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and all finite $F \subseteq E$ there exist at most finitely many functions on F which are restrictions of the functions f_i with $i \in I$,

then there is a function f with domain E such that for each finite $F \subseteq E$ and each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ there is an $i \in I$ such that $f|F = f_i|F$. Felscher [1964].

FORM 409. Suppose (G, Γ) is a locally finite graph (i.e. G is a non-empty set and Γ is a function from G to $\mathcal{P}(G)$ such that for each $x \in G$, $\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma^{-1}\{x\}$ are finite), K is a finite set of integers, and T is a function mapping subsets of K into subsets of K . If for each finite subgraph (A, Γ_A) there is a function ψ such that for each $x \in A$,

$\psi(x) \in T(\psi[\Gamma_A(x)])$, then there is a function ϕ such that for all $x \in G$, $\phi(x) \in T(\phi[\Gamma(x)])$.
Foster [1964].