## Additions to Part IV: Notes

In the table of contents for the notes add:

151. Definitions for forms [14 DA]–[14 DF]

New note:

## **NOTE 151**

In this note we give the definition of a lattice ordered group and related notions for forms [14 DA]– [14 DF].

## Definition.

- 1. If (G, +) is a group and  $\leq$  is a partial ordering on G compatible with + such that each pair of elements in G have a least upper bound and a greatest lower bound, then (G, +) is called a *lattice ordered group* or an *l-group*. (The partial order  $\leq$  is *compatible* with + if for all a, b, c, and d in G, if  $a \leq b$ , and  $c \leq d$ , then  $a + c \leq b + d$ .
- 2. If (G, +) is an *l*-group, *G* is called *archimedian* if for all pairs of strictly positive elements  $g, h \in G$ , there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $g^n \leq h$  does not hold. (An element  $g \in G$  is *positive* if  $e \leq g$  and *strictly positive* if  $e \leq g$ , where *e* is the unit.)
- 3. If (G, +) is an *l*-group, G is called *hyperarchimedian* if all its homomorphic images are archimedian.
- 4. An *l*-group is called *simple* if it does not contain any proper *l*-ideals.
- 5. Two elements g and h in an l-group G are called *orthogonal* if the meet of their absolute values is e, the unit. (The *absolute value* of an element  $g \in G$  is g if  $e \leq g$  and -g otherwise, where -g is the inverse of g.)
- 6. A weak unit in an l-group is a positive element u which is orthogonal only to e.
- 7. A topological space is called *Boolean* if it is compact, Hausdorf, and the family of compact open subsets form a base for the topology.
- 8. A is called a Boolean product of the family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i \in I\}$  if
  - (i) A is a subdirect product of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - (ii) I admits a Boolean space topology such that
  - (a) For any atomic formula  $\phi(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  and for all  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ , the subset

$$\{i \in I : A_i \models \phi(a_1(i), a_2(i), \cdots, a_n(i))\}$$

is clopen in I.

(b) For all  $a, b \in A$  and for all clopen  $J \subseteq I$ , the element  $(a \upharpoonright J) \cup (b \upharpoonright (I \setminus J))$  belongs to A.

In the table of contents for the notes add:

## NOTE 152

A proof that form 409 implies form 62. Let X be a set of disjoint finite sets and construct the graph  $(G, \Gamma)$  where  $G = \bigcup X$  and for  $t \in G$ , if  $t \in y \in X$  then  $\Gamma(t) = y \setminus \{t\}$ . (So if  $y \in X$  there is an edge from every element of y to every other element of y.) Let  $K = \{0, 1\}$ and define the function  $T : \mathcal{P}(K) \to \mathcal{P}(K)$  by  $T(\{0\}) = \{1\}, T(\{1\}) = \{0\}, T(\emptyset) = K$  and T(K) = K. The hypotheses of 409 are satisfied for  $(G, \Gamma)$  and K. Here is the argument:

If A is a finite subgraph of G (I.e.,  $A \subseteq G$ ), the A can be written as the disjoint union  $A = (A \cap y_1) \cup \cdots (A \cap y_n)$  where  $y_i \in X$  and  $y_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Choose one element  $t_i$  in each of the sets  $A \cap y_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and define  $\phi_A : A \to K$  by  $\phi_A(t_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$  and  $\phi_A(t) = 1$  for other elements  $t \in A$ . For the proof that  $\phi_A(t) \in T(\phi_A(\Gamma_A(t)))$  for all  $t \in A$ , ( $\Gamma_A$  is  $\Gamma$  restricted to A.) assume that  $t \in A \cap y_i$  and consider two cases

Case 1.  $|A \cap y_1| = 1$ . In this case  $t = t_i$  so  $\phi_A(t) = \phi_A(t_i) = 0$  Also in this case  $\Gamma_A(t) = \emptyset$  so  $T(\phi_A(\Gamma_A(t))) = T(\phi_A(\emptyset)) = T(\emptyset) = K = \{0, 1\}$  so  $\phi_A(t) \in T(\phi_A(\Gamma_A(t)))$ .

Case 2.  $|A \cap y_i| > 1$ . In this case let s be an element of  $A \cap y_i$  different from  $t_i$ . If  $t = t_i$ then  $s \in \Gamma_A(t_i) = \Gamma_A(t)$  so  $\phi_A(s) \in \phi_A(\Gamma_A(t))$  so  $1 \in \phi_A(\Gamma_A(t))$ . By the definition of T it follows that  $0 \in T(\phi_A(\Gamma_A(t)))$ . But  $\phi_A(t) = \phi_A(t_i) = 0$ . On the other hand if  $t \neq t_i$  then  $t_i \in \Gamma_A(t)$  so  $\phi_A(t_i) = 0 \in \phi_A(\Gamma_A(t))$ . Using the definition of T again, this means that  $1 \in T(\phi_A(\Gamma_A(t)))$ . But  $\phi_A(t) = 1$ .

Therefore by 409, there is a function  $\phi_A : G \to K$  such that for all  $t \in G$ ,  $\phi(t) \in T(\phi(\Gamma(t)))$ . We now argue that for each  $y \in X$ ,  $\phi$  restricted to y cannot be constant. (By contradiction.) Assume  $\forall t \in y$ ,  $\phi(t) = 0$ . Then for any  $t \in y$ ,  $T(\phi(\Gamma(t))) = T(\{0\}) = \{1\}$ . But then  $\phi(t) = 0 \notin T(\phi(\Gamma(t)))$ . A similar argument shows that  $\phi(t) = 1$  for all  $t \in y$  is impossible. We can now get a Kinna-Wagner function for X by defining  $f(y) = \{t \in y : \phi(t) = 0\}$  for each  $y \in X$ .